





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/697,079	10/27/2000	Donna K. Fox	0942.4460002/RWE/BJD	7547
26111 75	590 07/31/2002			
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			EXAMINER	
	ORK AVENUE, N.W., S N, DC 20005-3934	UITE 600	JOHANNSEN, DIANA B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	11
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2002	([

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
_	09/697,079	FOX ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Johannsen	1634	-
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma . a reply within the statutory minimum of riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) It latute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 May 2002 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims	lowance except for formal der <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayle</i> , 1935	matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 45-49 and 52 is/are pending in the	ne application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-49 and 52</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received	in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	nestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	n).
a) The translation of the foreign languag 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application ha	as been received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) r: Detailed Action .	

Application/Control Number: 09/697,079

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in response to paper no. 13, filed May 8, 2002. Claims 45-49 and 52 are pending. Applicants' arguments in paper no. 13 have been thoroughly reviewed. Any rejections not reiterated in this action have been withdrawn. **This action is NON-FINAL.**
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Rejections Withdrawn

- 3. Applicants' arguments in paper no. 13 regarding the loss of the polymerase inhibitor function of antibodies TthStart and TaqStart during the initial denaturation step of PCR are persuasive. Accordingly, the following rejections are withdrawn:
- a) The rejection of claims 45-47, 49, and 52 under 35 USC 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Chenchik et al;
- b) The rejection of claims 45-49 and 52 under 35 USC 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Okura et al; and
- c) The rejection of claim 48 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chenchik et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/697,079

Art Unit: 1634

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 45-49 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Todd (WO 96/32500 [10/1996]).

Todd et al disclose a composition comprising a restriction endonuclease, anti
Taq polymerase antibodies, and multiple buffers (see entire reference, especially p. 19, lines 11-21; page 22, lines 8-21). With respect to claim 49, it is noted that the claim is sufficiently broad so as to encompass storage under any type of conditions for any length of time, and it is an inherent property of the compositions taught by Todd et al that they would be "stable upon storage" under appropriate conditions (e.g., in a freezer). Accordingly, Todd et al clearly anticipate the claims.

6. Claims 45-46, 49 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the GibcoBRL Life Technologies Catalogue and Reference Guide (page 6-29, 1993-1994).

The GibcoBRL Catalogue teaches compositions comprising restriction endonucleases (specifically, *Narl* and *Ncol*) as well as the metal chelator EDTA and the sulfhydryl reagent 2-mercaptoethanol (p. 6-29; see section under each enzyme name entitled "Concentration in storage buffer"). With respect to claim 49, the compositions taught by the GibcoBRL Catalogue comprise enzymes in "storage buffer," and it is an inherent property of the compositions that they are "stable upon storage." With respect to claim 52, the compositions of the GibcoBRL Catalogue include the buffer Tris-HCl. Accordingly, the GibcoBRL Catalogue clearly anticipates the claims.

Art Unit: 1634

Page 4

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diana B. Johannsen whose telephone number is 703/305-0761. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached on 703/308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/872-9306 for regular communications and 703/872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-0196.

Diana B. Johannsen July 25, 2002 CARLA J. MYERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER